

HENRY C. QUERY, JR.
Patent Attorney At Law

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 20 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

To:	From:
Examiner Alison Pickard	Henry C. Query, Jr.
Company:	Date:
USPTO – Group Art Unit 3676	September 20, 2004
Fax Number:	Total No. of Pages Including Cover:
703-872-9326	5
Subject:	
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/010,427	
Inventor(s): Quoiani	
Filed: 11/30/2001	
For: Metallic Seal Components	
Attorney Docket No.: FMCE-P073	

THIS TRANSMITTAL IS INTENDED FOR DELIVERY ONLY TO THE PERSONS LISTED ABOVE. IT MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR PRIVILEGED INFORMATION, THE DISCLOSURE OF WHICH IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMITTAL IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE TO ARRANGE FOR THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT.

Dear Examiner Pickard:

Enclosed in connection with the above-referenced application is a Response to Office Action which is responsive to the Office Action dated June 18, 2004.

Sincerely,



Henry C. Query, Jr.

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence, consisting of 5 total pages, is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on September 20, 2004.



Henry C. Query, Jr.

Attorney Docket No.: FMCE-P073

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Quoiani)
Serial No.: 10/010,427)
Filed: 11/30/2001)
For: METALLIC SEAL COMPONENTS)

) Group Art Unit: 3676
) Examiner: A. Pickard

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 20 2004

Henry C. Query, Jr.
504 S. Pierce Ave.
Wheaton, IL 60187

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

This communication is responsive to the Office Action dated June 18, 2004.

Reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 14-18 and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,515,213) in view of Amancharla et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,007,783). In the Examiner's opinion, Rogen discloses every element of applicant's claimed invention, except a bore that includes a recessed surface. In addition, the Examiner asserts that Amancharla discloses a seal component which is expanded into a recessed undercut in a bore. The Examiner therefore concludes that it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rogen's bore to include